

TOWN OF SOUTHWICK

**UNOFFICIAL RESULTS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
TUESDAY NOVEMBER 3, 2020**

OFFICIAL RESULTS

	Party	Inc.	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 3	TOTALS
PRESIDENT/VICE PRESIDENT						
BLANKS			17	8	11	36
BIDEN and HARRIS	D		811	713	836	2360
HAWKINS and WALKER	G		13	9	8	30
JORGENSEN and COHEN	L		35	41	23	99
TRUMP and PENCE	R	X	1265	939	1017	3221
WRITE IN			5	11	6	22
TOTALS			2146	1721	1901	5768
SENATOR IN CONGRESS						
BLANKS			60	37	50	147
EDWARD J. MARKEY	D		795	715	809	2319
KEVIN J. O'CONNOR	R	X	1265	942	1019	3226
						0
WRITE IN			0	1	2	3
DR. SHIVA			26	26	21	73
TOTALS			2146	1721	1901	5768
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS						
BLANKS			811	594	607	2012
RICHARD E. NEAL	D	X	1225	1061	1211	3497
						0
WRITE IN			86	57	59	202
DR. SHIVA			18	1	16	35
ALEX MORSE			6	8	8	22
TOTALS			2146	1721	1901	5768
COUNCILLOR						
BLANKS			892	600	662	2154
MARY E. HURLEY	D	X	1205	1086	1199	3490
						0
WRITE IN			49	35	40	124
TOTALS			2146	1721	1901	5768
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT						
BLANKS			92	53	68	213
JOHN C. VELIS	D		849	754	865	2468
JOHN FRANCIS CAIN	R	X	1200	914	966	3080
						0
WRITE IN			5	0	2	7
TOTALS			2146	1721	1901	5768

TOWN OF SOUTHWICK

**UNOFFICIAL RESULTS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
TUESDAY NOVEMBER 3, 2020**

UNOFFICIAL RESULTS

	Party	Inc.	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 3	TOTALS
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT						
BLANKS			75	54	52	181
NICHOLAS A. BOLDYGA	R	x	1538	1140	1306	3984
KERRI A. O'CONNOR	D		532	526	542	1600
						0
WRITE IN			1	1	1	3
TOTALS			2146	1721	1901	5768

REGISTER OF PROBATE						
BLANKS			410	277	306	993
ROSEMARY A. SACCOMANI	D	x	836	737	866	2439
LORI A. LANDERS-CARVALHO	I		895	699	723	2317
WRITE IN			5	8	6	19
TOTALS			2146	1721	1901	5768

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 5, 2020?

QUESTION #1

This proposed law would require that motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities be provided with expanded access to mechanical data related to vehicle maintenance and repair. Starting with model year 2022, the proposed law would require manufacturers of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to equip any such vehicles that use telematics systems -- systems that collect and wirelessly transmit mechanical data to a remote server--with a standardized open access data platform. Owners of vehicles with telematics systems would get access to mechanical data through a mobil device application. With vehicle owner authorization, independent dealerships would be able to retrieve mechanical data from and send commands to, the vehicle for repair, maintenance, and diagnostic testing. Under the proposed law, manufacturers would not be allowed to require authorization before owners or repair facilities could access mechanical data stored in a motor vehicles on-board diagnostic system, except through an authorization process standardized across all makes and models and administered by an entity unaffiliated with the manufacturer. The proposed law would require the Attorney General to prepare a notice for prospective motor vehicle owners and lessees explaining telematics systems and the proposed laws requirements concerning access to the vehicles mechanical data. Under the proposed law, dealers would have to provide prospective owners with, and prospective owners would have to acknowledge receipt of, the notice before buying or leasing a vehicle. Failure to comply with these notice requirements would subject motor vehicle dealers to sanctions by the applicable licensing authority. Motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities could enforce this law through state consumer protection laws and recover civil penalties of the greater of treble damages or \$10,000 per violation.

A YES VOTE: would provide motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities with expanded access to wirelessly transmitted mechanical data related to their vehicles maintenance and repair.

A NO VOTE: would make no change in the law governing access to vehicles wirelessly transmitted mechanical data.

		PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 3	TOTALS
BLANKS		88	49	63	200
YES	x	1560	1210	1366	4136
NO		498	462	472	1432
TOTALS		2146	1721	1901	5768

TOWN OF SOUTHWICK

**UNOFFICIAL RESULTS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
TUESDAY NOVEMBER 3, 2020**

UNOFFICIAL RESULTS

QUESTION #2

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 5, 2020?

This proposed law would implement a voting system known as "ranked choice voting," in which voters rank one or more candidates by order of preference. Ranked-choice voting would be used in primary and general elections for all Massachusetts statewide offices, state legislative offices, federal congressional offices, and certain other offices beginning in 2022. Ranked-choice voting would not be used in elections for president, county commissioner, or regional district school committee member. Under the proposed law, votes would be counted in a series of rounds. In the first round, if one candidate received more than 50 percent of the first place votes, that candidate would be declared the winner and no other round would be necessary. If no candidate received more than 50 percent of the first place votes, then the candidate or candidates who received the fewest first place votes would be eliminated and, in the next round, each vote for an eliminated candidate would instead be counted toward the next highest-ranked candidate on the voters ballot. Depending on the number of candidates, additional rounds of counting could occur, with the last place candidate or candidates in each round being eliminated and the votes for an eliminated candidate going to the voters next choice out of the remaining candidates. A tie for last place in any round would be broken by comparing the tied candidates support in earlier rounds. Ultimately, the candidate who was, of the remaining candidates, the preference of a majority of voters would be declared the winner. Ranked-choice voting would be used only in races where more than one person is to be elected. Under the proposed law, if no candidate received more than 50 percent of first place votes in the first round, the rounds of ballot-counting necessary for ranked-choice voting would be conducted at a central tabulation facility. At the facility, voters' marks would be entered into a computer, which would then be used to calculate the results of each round of the counting process. The proposed law provides that candidates in a statewide or district election would have at least three days to request a recount. The Secretary of State would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law and conduct a voter education campaign about the ranked-choice voting process. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2022.

A YES VOTE would create a system of ranked-choice voting in which voters would have the option to rank candidates in order of preference and votes would be counted in rounds, eliminating candidates with the lowest votes until one candidate has received a majority.

A NO VOTE would make no changes in the laws governing voting and how votes are counted.

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 3	TOTALS
BLANKS	99	60	84	243
YES	541	497	483	1521
NO	x 1506	1164	1334	4003
TOTALS	2145	1721	1901	5767

	PCT 1	PCT 2	PCT 3	TOTALS
VOTER REGISTRATION 10/24/2020	2625	2281	2406	731
TOTAL VOTED NOVEMBER 03, 2020	2146	1721	1901	2 5768
% VOTED NOVEMBER 03, 2020	81.75%	75.45%	79.01%	78.88%

A TRUE COPY ATTEST:
Michelle L. Hill